

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,810	07/31/2001		Michael George Bruce SR.	23512-006-401	1440
29315	7590	07/05/2005		EXAM	IINER
MINTZ LE		HN FERRIS GLO	VIG, N	VIG, NARESH	
SUITE 900		5 ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, V	A 20190	)	3629		

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/917,810	BRUCE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Naresh Vig	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ag	<u>oril 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-17</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>7-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· '				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	α.				
h.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20020605,20031125.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in reference to response received 07 April 2005 to the office action mailed on 07 December 2004. There are 11 claims, claims 7 – 17 pending for examination.

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 7 – 17 in the reply filed on 07 April 2005 is acknowledged.

## **Priority**

Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged. However, the non-provisional application upon which priority is claimed was abandoned on 02 March 2001 whereas the current application was filed on 31 July 2001 which is after the abandon date of the application upon which applicant has claimed priority. For the purpose of examination, the priority date of this application will be 31 July 2001, the filing date of this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 3629

Claims 7 – 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable by Kumar et al. US Publication 2002/0042755.

Regarding claim 7, Kumar teaches system and method for providing electronic transactions with a plurality of sources for a user over a network (The system also includes one or more processors collectively operable to receive an available-to-promise (ATP) request comprising a plurality of request line-items each corresponding to a desired product, generate one or more component ATP requests using at least one rule in the database and based on the request line-items, and communicate the component ATP requests to at least one supplier associated with the desired product) [0010]. Kumar teaches:

a search module for accessing data describing at least one offering [0036, 0088] available from at least one of a plurality of source systems [0010, Fig. 1 and disclosure associated with Fig. 1];

an ordering module for placing a plurality of orders to the plurality of source systems in response to at least one ordering transaction for at least one purchase item selected by one or more users [0010, Fig. 1 and disclosure associated with Fig. 1].

Regarding claim 8, Kumar teaches search module includes at least one custom data transfer protocol for communicating with at least one of the plurality of source

Art Unit: 3629

systems (Fulfillment server 16 and LFMs 22, ATP servers 14, or other supplier systems may communicate using any suitable protocols and/or mechanisms) [0028].

Regarding claim 10, Kumar teaches ordering module includes at least one custom data transfer protocol for communicating with at least one of the plurality of source systems (Fulfillment server 16 and LFMs 22, ATP servers 14, or other supplier systems may communicate using any suitable protocols and/or mechanisms) [0028].

Regarding claim 12, Kumar teaches comparison module for comparing products or services available from the plurality of source systems [claim 3].

Regarding claim 13, Kumar teaches comparison module includes at least one custom data transfer protocol for communicating with at least one of the plurality of source systems (Fulfillment server 16 and LFMs 22, ATP servers 14, or other supplier systems may communicate using any suitable protocols and/or mechanisms) [0028].

Regarding claim 15, Kumar teaches a transaction management module communicating with the plurality of merchant systems for monitoring the status of a plurality of orders placed to the plurality of source systems [0023, 0071].

Regarding claim 16, Kumar teaches transaction management module includes at least one custom data transfer protocol for communicating with at least one of the

Art Unit: 3629

plurality of source systems (Fulfillment server 16 and LFMs 22, ATP servers 14, or other supplier systems may communicate using any suitable protocols and/or mechanisms) [0028].

Regarding claims 9, 11, 14 and 17, in the disclosure originally filed 31 July 2001, applicant recites "Electronic Data Interface (EDI)" [0036]. Kumar teaches electronic data interface protocol (Electronic Data Interchange (EDI)) [0028].

#### Conclusion

Applicant is required under 37 CRF '1.111 (c) to consider the references fully when responding to this office action.

Kumart et al. US Provisional Application 60/238387. This is partial subset of 126 pages. Provisional Application has 675 pages.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naresh Vig whose telephone number is (571) 272-6810. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 6:00 (Wednesday off).

Art Unit: 3629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Naresh Vig Examiner Art Unit 3629

Haresh Vig

June 20, 2005